

(1) Please take time to write clear and concise solutions. You are *STRONGLY* encouraged to submit *LaTeXed* solutions by email. (2) Collaboration is OK, but please write your answers yourself, and include in your answers the names of *EVERYONE* you collaborated with and *ALL* references other than class notes you consulted.

1. (3 points) $\mathbf{E}[X^2]$ is assumed to be well defined for all random variables X appearing in this problem. Prove the following:

- (a) (0 points) $\mathbf{Var}[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Var}[X_i] + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \mathbf{Cov}[X_i, X_j]$.
 (b) (1 point) $\mathbf{Var}[X] = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2]$, where Y is independent of X and has distribution identical to X .
 (c) (1 point) If $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is α -Lipschitz (i.e., $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \alpha|x - y|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$) then

$$\mathbf{Var}[f(X)] \leq \alpha^2 \mathbf{Var}[X].$$

- (d) (1 point) [**Bunyakovsky-Cauchy-Schwarz inequality**] $\mathbf{E}[|XY|]^2 \leq \mathbf{E}[X^2] \cdot \mathbf{E}[Y^2]$.

Hint: It might help to consider the function $f(x) = |x|$.

2. (3 points) Let (X, Y) be jointly Gaussian random variables with mean $(0, 0)$ and co-variance matrix $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Describe the distribution of the vector $(5X + 3Y, 3X + 4Y)$.

3. (4 points) In class, we saw that the Neyman-Pearson “threshold test” may not have the optimal power for a given significance level if that significance level cannot be achieved exactly by a threshold test. Now consider the null probability distribution $p_0 := (p_0(i))_{i=1}^n$ and the alternative probability distribution $p_1 := (p_1(i))_{i=1}^n$, both on the sample space $[n]$. Assume that the $p_0(i)$ and $p_1(i)$, $i \in [n]$ are rational numbers that can each be expressed as a ratio of integers with denominator M .

Give an algorithm that on input a rational number α (also expressed as a ratio of integers with denominator M) and the probability vectors p_0 and p_1 as above, outputs in time polynomial in n and M a description of the test of optimal power with significance level at most α .

4. (4 points) Let X be a non-negative random variable with finite second moment $\mathbf{E}[X^2]$. We denote by $\mathbf{I}[Z]$ the indicator random variable for event Z , so that $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{I}[Z]] = \mathbf{P}[Z]$ for any event Z . Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ be a fixed real number, and let $\mu = \mathbf{E}X$.

- (a) (0 points) Show that $\mathbf{E}[X \cdot \mathbf{I}[X \leq \alpha\mu]] \leq \alpha\mu$.
 (b) (1 point) Show that $\mathbf{E}[X \cdot \mathbf{I}[X > \alpha\mu]]^2 \leq \mathbf{E}[X^2] \mathbf{P}[X > \alpha\mu]$.
 (c) (1 point) [**Paley-Zygmund inequality**] Show therefore that

$$\mathbf{P}[X > \alpha\mu] \geq (1 - \alpha)^2 \frac{\mathbf{E}[X]^2}{\mathbf{E}[X^2]}.$$

- (d) (2 points) Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be 4-wise independent uniformly distributed Rademacher variables (i.e., each X_i is uniformly distributed in $\{+1, -1\}$). Let $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Show that for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

$$\mathbf{P}[|S| > \alpha\sqrt{n}] \geq (1 - \alpha^2)^2 \cdot \frac{n}{3n - 2} \geq \frac{(1 - \alpha^2)^2}{3}.$$

Note that this is a kind of *anti-concentration* bound: we are putting a *lower bound* on the probability that S is far from its expectation. Such bounds are very important in many areas of mathematics, including in the study of random matrices.

Note: X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are said to be k -wise independent if for any subset S of $[n]$ of size at most k and any collection $(f_i)_{i \in S}$ of functions for which the expectations are well-defined, one has

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\prod_{i \in S} f_i(X_i)\right] = \prod_{i \in S} \mathbf{E}[f_i(X_i)].$$

Note: The next two problems will not be graded, but you are expected to be able to solve them.

5. (0 points) Let X be a random variable supported on the interval $[a, b]$ and satisfying $E[X] = 0$. Assume that $a < 0 < b$. Define $\phi(\lambda) := \log E[\exp(\lambda X)]$ for $\lambda \geq 0$.

- (a) Show that for $x \in [a, b]$ and any real λ , $\exp(\lambda x) \leq \frac{x-a}{b-a} \cdot \exp(\lambda b) + \frac{b-x}{b-a} \cdot \exp(\lambda a)$. Hence obtain an explicit upper bound $f(\lambda)$ on $\phi(\lambda)$ in terms of a, b and λ .
- (b) [**Hoeffding lemma**] By analyzing the first two derivatives of f , or otherwise, show that

$$\phi(\lambda) \leq \frac{\lambda^2(b-a)^2}{8}.$$

6. (0 points) Let Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n be a sequence of random variables taking value in some set \mathcal{Y} . A sequence X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n of random variables is said to be a *martingale*¹ with respect to the sequence Y if there is a sequence of deterministic functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n such that $X_i = f_i(Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_i)$, and further

$$E[X_{i+1} | Y_0, \dots, Y_i] = X_i \quad \forall i \geq 1.$$

Let us suppose that this martingale has the *bounded difference property*: there exists a deterministic sequence of constants c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n such that

$$|X_i - X_{i-1}| \leq c_i \quad \forall i \geq 1.$$

- (a) Show that for any $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\log E[\exp(\lambda(X_i - X_{i-1})) | Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}] \leq \frac{\lambda^2 c_i^2}{2}.$$

- (b) [**Hoeffding-Azuma inequality**] Show therefore that

$$P[|X_n - E[X_0]| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right).$$

¹Martingales can be defined in more generality, but this form of the definition is usually sufficient for algorithmic applications.